

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISIDICIION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.40 OF 2004

Bramhadev Rajkumar Yadav ...Petitioner

Vs.

M/s.Raj Laxmi Developers and
Ors. ...Respondents

.....
Mr.V.R.Tripathi for Petitioner
Mr.R.S.Apte with V.S.Upadhyaye for Respondent nos.1
to 3

.....
WITH
(2) CONTEMPT PETITION NO.45 OF 2004

Rampher Rambahal Upadhyaya ...Petitioner

Vs.

M/s.Raj Laxmi Developers and
Ors. ...Respondents

.....
Mr.V.R.Tripathi for Petitioner
Mr.R.S.Apte with V.S.Upadhyaye for Respondent nos.1
to 3

.....
WITH
(3) CONTEMPT PETITION NO.46 OF 2004

Rampher Rambahal Upadhyaya ...Petitioner

Vs.

M/s.Raj Laxmi Developers and
Ors. ...Respondents

.....
Mr.V.R.Tripathi for Petitioner
Mr.R.S.Apte with V.S.Upadhyaye for Respondent nos.1
to 3

.....
WITH
(4) CONTEMPT PETITION NO.47 OF 2004

Harilal Baijnath Gupta ...Petitioner

Vs.

M/s.Raj Laxmi Developers and
Ors. ...Respondents

.....
Mr.V.R.Tripathi for Petitioner
Mr.R.S.Apte with V.S.Upadhyaye for Respondent nos.1
to 3

....

CORAM : B.H.MARLAPALLE, J.
DATE : FEBRUARY 22, 2005

P.C.:

1. These Contempt Petitions arise from the order passed by this Court in W.P.No. 8870 of 2003 on 10.12.2003 and other orders. On 16.1.2004 an order came to be passed in these Contempt Petitions wherein the undertaking furnished by the learned counsel for the respondents to prepare and hand over the draft of the Agreement in respect of the final residential-cum-commercial accommodation within a period of eight days, was noted down.

2. It is now stated across the bar that the respondents have already handed over the Agreement draft to the petitioners and it is for both the parties to execute the same at the earliest. Under the changed circumstances, nothing further survives in these contempt petitions and therefore, they are disposed of as such.

[B.H.MARLAPALLE, J.]